

Readoption Review

Mobile Home Community Sanitation and Safety

410 IAC 6-6

IC 4-22-2.5-3.1(c) requires an agency to conduct a review to consider whether there are alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the rule that are less costly or less intrusive, or that would minimize the economic impact of the proposed rule on small business.

Description of Rule:

This rule covers the operations and licensing of mobile home communities in order to assure safe facilities, proper water supply, and proper sewage disposal. Currently there are 1,265 mobile home communities in Indiana.

Readoption Analysis:

- 1) **Is there a continued need for this rule?**
Yes, the predominate purpose and effect is to address matters of health and safety by assuring that mobile home communities are safe and clean.
- 2) **What is the nature of any complaints or comments received from the public, including small business, concerning the rule or the implementation of the rule by the agency?**
Since residents in a mobile home community are renting lots, this rule provides a mechanism for them to have the infrastructure maintained. Most complaints are from tenants that are experiencing a problem that property owner or manager has not maintained. The complaints are not about the rule themselves, but rather violations of the rule.
- 3) **Examine the complexity of the rule, including difficulties encountered by the agency in administering the rule and small businesses in complying with the rule.**
This rule is not complex. The agency has not encountered any difficulties administering it and small businesses have not had difficulties complying with it.
- 4) **To what extent does the rule overlap, duplicate, or conflict with other federal, state, or local laws, rules, regulations, or ordinances?**
This rule does not overlap or conflict with other federal, state or local laws, rules, regulations or ordinances.
- 5) **When was the last time the rule was reviewed under this section or otherwise evaluated by the agency, and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by this rule since that time?**
The rule was last reviewed in 2007 and factors have not changed since that time.